

Post Ack.Due.

Violation-cum-Show Cause Notice

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF MINES
INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

Office of the Regional Controller of Mines, Hyderabad

No. AP/ KNL/Lst-196/Hyd

603, 6th Floor, CGO Towers,
Kavadiguda, Secunderabad
Date:18.02.2016

To

The Agent (Mines),
Kotapadu Limestone Mine,
M/s Dalmia Cement (Bharat) Ltd.,
Chinnakomerla(V),Mylavaram(M),
Kadapa Dist-516433
Andhra Pradesh

Sub: Violation of provisions of MCDR, 1988 in respect of Kotapadu Limestone mine over an area of 234.032 ha in Kotapadu village, Kolomigundla Mandal & Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh.

Sir,

The following provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 1988 were found violated in your above mine during the inspection on 05.02.2016 by undersigned, Assistant Controller of Mines in the presence of Sri S Brahmaiah, Mine Manager & Sri S.Manoj Kumar,Geologist.

Rule No.	Nature of Violation
13(1)	Every holder of a mining lease shall carry out mining operations in accordance with the approved mining plan with such conditions as may have been prescribed under sub-rule (2) of rule 9 or with such modifications, if any, as permitted under rule 10 or the mining plan or scheme approved under rule 11 or 12 as the case may be. Mining operations should have been undertaken in accordance with the approved Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining. The Mining Plan was approved vide letter no. MP/AP/KNL/Lst-134-SZ on 19.02.2007. The scheme of mining for the subsequent period has not been submitted so far. However, as per the MMDR Amendment Act 2015, the lease period has been deemed to be extended up to fifty years as stipulated there in, as such you are advised to submit a Modified Mining plan under rule 22 of MCR, 1960 with proposals for next five years. Since you have no approved document for your above mentioned mine, it is evident that the mining operations are not being carried out in accordance with the approved document.
23 E(2)	The owner .agent, manager or mining engineer shall submit to the Regional Controller of Mines, a yearly report before 1 st July of every year setting forth extent of protective and rehabilitative works carried out as envisaged in the approved mine closure plan, and if there is any deviation ,reasons thereof. But the same not submitted in this office for 2014-15.
45(5)(a)(viii)	Monthly returns since opening of the mine since opening has not been submitted to the Regional Controller of Mines, IBM, Hyderabad, which ought to have been Submitted in Form F-8 before 10 th of every month in respect of preceding month.
45(5)(b)(viii)	Annual returns since opening of the mine has not been submitted to the Regional Controller of Mines, IBM, Hyderabad, which ought to have been Submitted in Form H-8 before 1 st July each year for the preceding Year.

02. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above said violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule-58 of MCDR, 1988. Besides, inability to comply the provision of Rules 13(1) is also liable for suspension of mining operations under the provision of Rule 13(2) of MCDR, 1988.

03. You are, therefore, directed to **show-cause** within a period of 30(thirty) days from the date of issue of this letter, as to why you should not be **prosecuted** for the above offence and or Mining operations shall be suspended under the provision of Rule 13(2) of MCDR, 1988 in the Mine till the compliance of the aforesaid violation.

04. Please note that no further notice will be given to you in this regard.

Yours faithfully,

(R.K.Das)
Assistant Controller of Mines

Not on the Original:

1. खान नियंत्रक(द), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, बंगलुरु।
2. Copy for information & further necessary action to The Director, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Andhra Pradesh, 8th Floor, BRKR Government Offices Complex, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad-500 001.
3. The Assistant Director, Dept. of Mines and Geology, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Banganapalli, Kurnool District – 518 124 A.P. State.

(R.K.Das)
Assistant Controller of Mines

Registered Post Ack.Due.

Violation-cum-Show Cause Notice

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF MINES
INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

Office of the Regional Controller of Mines, Hyderabad

No. AP/ ADB/Mn-30/Hyd

603, 6th Floor, CGO Towers,
Kavadiguda, Secunderabad

Date:

To
Sri Anup Kaparathi, Nominated owner,
M/s. Balaji Electro smelters Ltd.,
Post Box 26, Plot No. B-18,
MIDC, Lohara, Yavatmal,
Pin-445001

Sub: Violation of provisions of MCDR, 1988 in respect of Boraj Manganese mine over an area of 122.57 ha in Boraj village, Jainath Mandal, Adilabad District, Telangana State.

Sir,

The following provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 1988 were found violated in your above mine during the inspection on 08.10.2015 by undersigned, Assistant Controller of Mines in the presence of Sri Sri Ramesh & K.U.Jenekar, Lessee Representative.

Rule No.	Nature of Violation
13(1)	Every holder of a mining lease shall carry out mining operations in accordance with the approved mining plan with such conditions as may have been prescribed under sub-rule (2) of rule 9 or with such modifications, if any, as permitted under rule 10 or the mining plan or scheme approved under rule 11 or 12 as the case may be. Mining operations should have been undertaken in accordance with the approved Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining. The Mining Plan was approved on 16.04.2004 and the lease was executed on 14.07.2009 therefore proposal period 2009-10 and 2013-14 and the validity of the proposals has been expired on 31.3.2014. The scheme of mining for the subsequent period has not been submitted so far. However, as per the MMDR Amendment Act 2015, the lease period has been deemed to be extended up to fifty years as stipulated there in, as such you are advised to submit a Modified Mining plan under rule 22 of MCR, 1960 with proposals for next five years. Since you have no

	approved document for your above mentioned mine, Therefore document should be approved and mining operations should be carried out in accordance with the approved document.
--	--

02. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above said violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule-58 of MCDR, 1988. Besides, inability to comply the provision of Rules 13(1) is also liable for suspension of mining operations under the provision of Rule 13(2) of MCDR, 1988.

03. You are, therefore, directed to **show-cause** within a period of 30(thirty) days from the date of issue of this letter, as to why you should not be **prosecuted** for the above offence and or Mining operations shall be suspended under the provision of Rule 13(2) of MCDR, 1988 in the Mine till the compliance of the aforesaid violation.

04. Please note that no further notice will be given to you in this regard.

Yours faithfully,

(R.K.Das)
Assistant Controller of Mines

Registered Post Ack.Due.

Violation-cum-Show Cause Notice

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF MINES
INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES

Office of the Regional Controller of Mines, Hyderabad

No. AP/ ADB/Mn-19/Hyd

603, 6th Floor, CGO Towers,
Kavadiguda, Secunderabad

Date:

To
Sri Mukesh Kumar Lodha, Agent,
M/s. Aditya Minerals (P) Ltd.,
161, EWS(N.C.No.1-1-45/C/161),
A.P. Housing Board ,
Adilabad-504001(Telangana)

Sub: Violation of provisions of MCDR, 1988 in respect of Ghotkuriguda-II Manganese mine over an area of 93.11 ha in Ghotkuriguda village, Adilabad Mandal & District, Telangana. State.

Sir,

The following provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 1988 were found violated in your above mine during the inspection on 09.10.2015 by undersigned, Assistant Controller of Mines in the presence of Sri Mukesh Kumar Lodha, Agent.

Rule No.	Nature of Violation
13(1)	<p>The mining operations in the lease area are not carried out as per approved scheme of mining approved vide letter no. AP/ADB/MP/Mn-12/Hyd dated 21.03.2013 and following deviations observed during the inspection.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is proposed in Para 3(e) to put 9 nos of trial pits in the grid pattern of 200mX100m of dimension 4mX4mX4m from 2012-13 to 2014-15, but the same was not carried out. 2. Plantation of 820 nos plants from 2012-13 to 2014-15 is not done as proposed at para no.9.0.
42(1)(c)ii :	<p>For the purpose of carrying out reconnaissance, prospecting or mining operations in accordance with these rules: "Every holder of a mining lease shall employ, in case of category 'B' mines, a part time mining engineer and a part time Geologist".</p> <p>A part time Mining Engineer and a part time Geologist under this Rule has not been employed for carrying out mining operations as required under the rule.</p>

02. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above said violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule-58 of MCDR, 1988. Besides, inability to comply the provision of Rules 13(1) is also liable for suspension of mining operations under the provision of Rule 13(2) of MCDR, 1988.

03. You are, therefore, directed to **show-cause** within a period of 30(thirty) days from the date of issue of this letter, as to why you should not be **prosecuted** for the above offence and or Mining operations shall be suspended under the provision of Rule 13(2) of MCDR, 1988 in the Mine till the compliance of the aforesaid violation.

04. Please note that no further notice will be given to you in this regard.

Yours faithfully,

(R.K.Das)
Assistant Controller of Mines